

REMARKS

This Response is in reply to the Office Action mailed September 6, 2007. Claims 31 and 39-51 were pending in the application with claims 31, 39, 40, and 47-51 being allowed, and claims 41-46 being rejected.


Claim 41 has been amended to now make even clearer that the motor operates in a first direction and a second direction. The first gear set operatively connects the motor to the first roll to drive the first roll at a first speed when the motor operates in the first direction. Further, the second gear set is positionable in a second orientation using the second gear path to drive the second roll in the forward direction when the motor is driven in the second direction. The prior art devices do not disclose a motor that operates in first and second directions, and performs the claimed functional aspects.

The Office Action cites to several references stating that claims 41-46 are obvious. However, it does not appear that the Office Action states that any of the references discloses a device with a motor that operates in both first and second directions. For at least these reasons, claims 41-46 are not obvious and are in condition for allowance.

The Applicants submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

COATS & BENNETT, P.L.L.C.



David D. Kalish
Registration No.: 42,706

Dated: November 16, 2007

1400 Crescent Green, Suite 300
Cary, NC 27518
Telephone: (919) 854-1844
Facsimile: (919) 854-2084